Hon. Waggener Carr 604 International Life Bldg., Austin. Texas 78704

Dear Mr. Jarr,

It was at thoughtfulness I appreciate that you spent part of the holiday dictating your January I reply to my letter of December 17. Please understand it is not lack of appreciation that requires me to take issue with you. As a famous lawyer you know even better that I, a hubble scrivenner, how fregile human recollection can be, particularly after five years.

I em well eware of other versions of what happened when you heard the report Oswald had been connected with a government agency. Yours is not, in some ways, consistent with them, including some contemporaneous records. Often it amounts to a conflict between a manington account and a Texas account. It is partly for this reason that I seek the best possible Texas account. You may recall my interest in getting the correspondence from the Texas rather then the leshington and, for I have found what is available here something less than always pure.

You say, and pleases understand I am neither quibeling nor orguing, "I heard the rumor that Cowald was employed by the FBI or CLA...I forwarded this rumor to the Marren are nair Commission..." It is my understanding from these other sources that "enry fade in Cornel you of it and that you is additably phoned J. Lee Rankin. If you wrote, I'd very much appraciate a copy of that letter, which would be evailable in Pashington but I'd prefer one from you. You also say, "I did not make a special or hurried or special trip to Cashington for that purpose." The techington account uses such words as "hurried, "plunged into an actounding problem", "met in emergency session" (as soon as physically possible after your call) and these words from Congressman Ford, "The Commission made the decision to sake the Texas Attorney General, District Attorney Rade and other Dellas officials...to come at once to Rashington...secretly...elipped into the nation's cepital..." and so forth.

Prue, you or a surjogate did sit in on most of the harrings, but this left uncovered the enormous amount of other sources, like investigative reports, etc., and the executive ressions.

Tousibly those of you who attended the bourings reached the conclusion the report was false. But did any of you examine those other sources? Hid way of you, in fact, check what was actually investigated, it this is the apropriate designation? From what I mow I not only doubt it but I am firmly persuaded achorwise, doubter of my reasons for writing you and asking that you make as complete we possible a record of what you know, heard and observed. I do think your personal interest and that of your State require this of you. I would welcome any such data you might provide.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg